Examiner-Initiated Interview Sumn	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	mary	10/079,843	PLANKENHORN	I, DANIEL J.
		Examiner	Art Unit	
	-	Theresa T Snider	1744	
All Participants:		Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Theresa T Snider</u> .		(3)		
(2) <u>Michael Didas</u> .		(4)	,	
Date of Interview: 30 July 2004		Time:		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	☐ Applicar No	nt's representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed: 1-58 and 60-74				
Prior art documents discussed: Hester				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	IE GENER	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.				
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s directly resulted in the allowance of the applic of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a s did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The eparate re	examiner will provide a written examiner will provide a written example of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since	ne substance
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant//	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appro	opriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Mr. Didas to receive clarification of amended claim 67. The figures and specification did not appear to support 'the cleaning ribbon being configured to form a particle trap between the length of the ...take-up reel' The particle trap appeared to be formed after the cartridge is inserted into a cleaning apparatus rather than in the cartridge by itself (figs.2 and 10). Examiner explained how in Hester, the space between #32 and #27 in figure 2, could be considered a particle trap as claimed. Mr. Didas proposed claim language that was more in line with the specification and figures and would avoid Hester as a reference. Exmaienr agreed to the proposed change, which will be entered as an Examiner's Amdt, and the claims will all be allowable.